Psychological Egoism



A Popular Mistake

Self-interest and Virtue

* The virtues are lost in self-interest as rivers are lost in the sea. —Franklin D. Roosevelt

Two Kinds of Egoism

- * Psychological Egoism (PE):
 - * Everyone always, in every instance, acts from a motive of self-interest.
- * Ethical Egoism (EE):
 - * Everyone always, in every instance, should act from a motive of self-interest.

PE Refined

- * Plainly people sometimes do things not in their own self-interest:
 - * People make mistakes.
 - * People are confused.
 - * People sometimes simply do foolish things that they later regret—and rightly believe not to have been in their own interest.
- * So, slightly amended PE:
 - * Everyone always, in every instance, acts from a motive of perceived self-interest.
 - * Everyone, that is, always acts in a way they understand to be in their own self-interest.

PE Characterised

- * PE is evidently a descriptive, empirical claim:
 - * It purports, that is, to describe how people in fact act.
 - * It should, then, in principle, be in some way or other falsifiable.
 - * It is, moreover, a synthetic claim.
 - * It is thus understood not to be a trivial claim, or a claim which is simply stipulated.
 - It is a substantive claim about human psychology and human motivation in particular.

Analytic vs. Synthetic

- * The Character of this Distinction
 - * This is a syntactic-semantic distinction.
- * The Distinction
 - * A sentence is analytically true/false *iff* it is true/false purely by virtue of its logical form or by virtue of the meanings of its words and independently of matters of fact.
 - * A sentence is synthetic *iff* it is not analytic.

PE and EE Contrasted

- * PE is, then, a descriptive claim.
- * EE is, by contrast, a normative claim.

Descriptive Claims

- * Descriptive claims purport to describe the world as it is.
- * One may fairly and uncontroversially ask, when confronted with a descriptive claim: is this claim true or false? What fact, if any, makes this claim true?
 - Some examples:
 - * Margaret Thatcher was the first female Prime Minister of the United Kingdom.
 - * The speed of light in a vacuum is 299,792,459 metres per second.
 - * N.b. that we may not actually know the truth value of this or that descriptive claim:
 - Uruguay won the first World Cup, in 1930.
 - * It is not possible that anything can travel faster than the speed of light.

Normative Claims

- * Normative claims make appeal, explicitly or implicitly, to some *norm*; they are generally evaluative or prescriptive.
- * Some examples:
 - * Wagner is the greatest opera composer of all time.
 - * One should never harm another person willingly.
 - * 'Those who would give up essential Liberty, to purchase a little temporary Safety, deserve neither Liberty nor Safety.' (Benjamin Franklin)
 - * You really should do something about that brother of yours.

A Dispute about Normativity

- * Although many people suppose this is so, we do not want to make it *definitional* of normativity that normative statements are not truth evaluable.
- * Consider:
 - * Murder is always and everywhere wrong.
 - * If there are *moral facts*, then this is simply true.
 - The sunset over the Alps was simply gorgeous.
 - * Each person should always pursue his or her own self-interest exclusively.
- * The crucial point: such statements make implicit or explicit appeal to some norm, either prescriptively or by being evaluative.

Now, to PE

- * PE is, or purports to be, an empirical hypothesis about human motivation.
- * PE holds that all humans, whenever they act, act so as to maximize their own narrowly construed self-interest.
- * PE claims, then, then everyone is always, everywhere, in every action, *selfish*.

Six Bad Reasons to Accept PE I

- * Open your eyes: PE is plainly observable fact.
 - * No, it's not: if anything is a plainly observable fact it is that people act from motives of self-interest with great regularity, but even they, along with many others who do not so act, often do things from altruistic motives.
- * No-one would ever act unless they had a motive of their own.
 - * True, but the having of a motive of one's own does not entail that the motive in question is a selfish motive.
- * Even people who act altruistically derive pleasure, or at least satisfaction, from their actions. So, that shows that PE after all.
 - * No it doesn't: even if ϕ results regularly and predictably from doing a, it does not follow that people to a for the sake of ϕ .
 - * Some people regularly drink too much, though they know they will get a hangover. It doesn't follow that they drink in order to get a hangover.
 - * Each time I drive, I pollute the atmosphere. It doesn't follow that I drive in order to pollute the atmosphere.
 - * Moreover, if S* derives satisfaction or pleasure from helping S**, that seems rather to indicate that S* regards S** as having an intrinsic good of her own and is thus motivated to act for the sake of another—else S* could proceed with perfect indifference to S**'s suffering.

Six Bad Reasons to Accept PE II

- * No-one would ever be motivated to act unless they believed their action would benefit them.
 - * Question begging: PE, because PE.
- * Possibly, every seemingly altruistic motive is really, upon inspection, a selfish motive.
 - * Possibly, but not actually.
 - * What is more, possibly every seemingly selfish motive is really, upon inspection, an altruistic motive.
 - * Again, possibly but not actually.
- * Necessarily, every seemingly altruistic motive is really, upon inspection, a selfish motive.
 - * Question begging in the extreme: PE, because necessarily PE.

Against PE

- 1. PE is either analytic or synthetic.
- 2. If PE is synthetic, then it is plainly false (because counterexamples abound).
- 3. If PE is analytic, then it is not an empirical claim about human motivation at all (and it is trivial, because stipulative).
- 4. Ergo, PE is either false or trivial.